REPORT

TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

CHEMISTS . METALLURGISTS . FNGINFFDS DEVELOPMENT TERTING

14201 FRANKLIN TUSTIN, CALIFORNIA 92680 AREA CODE 714 . 730-6239 AREA CODE 213 . 225-1564 TRUELABS

CABLE:

DATE 11/28/84

P.O. NO. Letter 10/30/84

03061 LABORATORY NO.

SPECIFICATION TLI letter 8/10/84

RESEARCH

CLIENT

Apollo Sprayers International, Inc. 17233 Newhope Street, Suite F Fountain Valley, CA 92708 Attention: John Darroch

MATERIAL Dunn - Edwards # 10-2 Black Enamel # T - 1 Paint Thinner

INVESTIGATION Transfer Efficiency of commercial spray gun.

Equipment:

- 1. Apollo Spray Gun Model ASG-100 w/std. 2mm tip
- 2. Apollo Sprayer Model # 500
- 3. Apollo Sprayer Model # 700
- 4. Apollo Sprayer Model # 800
- 5. O-Hans Electric Balance 300.00g/3000.0g
- 6. Aluminum Foil, Reynolds Heavy Duty
- 7. Viscosity cup, Zahn # 2 type
- Stop watch
- 9. Assorted Beakers
- 10. Thumb tacks
- 24" 1/2 NPT pipe 11.
- 12. Thermometer

Procedure:

Dunn-Edwards # 10-2 Black Ename1 (250 ml) was mixed with Dunn-Edwards # T-1 Paint Thinner (75 ml) in a 600 ml beaker. This was poured into a Zahn #2 type viscosity cup with a finger covering the outlet hole. A stopwatch was used to time the flow of the paint from the cup back into the 600 ml beaker. The stopwatch was stopped at the first break in the paint stream (24.43 seconds, 71.8°F). This mixture was then transfered to a paint cup of an Apollo sprayer. This sprayer when attached to the yellow double filter air pump is sprayer Model # 800. The same spray gun is Model # 700 with a red single filter pump and Model # 500 with a small blue and black pump.

A roll of heavy duty aluminum foil was weighed to 0.1 g in the first four tests and to 0.01g in all subsequent tests. A square piece (18" x 18") of foil was then tacked to a laboratory wall and sprayed excluding edges, with the previously weighed Apollo sprayer (to 0.1g). This foil was then reweighed within 20 seconds from when it was sprayed. The Apollo sprayer was reweighed as well and the data recorded. The foil was then thumb tacked to a wall and allowed to dry for six (6) days. This procedure was repeated several times using each air pump. During one test a white card was placed above the spray nozzle. Paint was sprayed 6" from the foil surface and no bounce back was detected on the card.

This report applies only to the sample, or samples, investigated and is not necessarily indicative of the quality or condition of apparently identical or similar products. As a mutual protection to clients, the public and these Laboratories, this report is submitted and accepted for the exclusive use of the client to whom it is addressed and upon the condition that it is not to be used, in whole or in part, in any advertising or publicity matter without prior written authorization from these Laboratories.

TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

Procedure continued...

A piece of aluminum foil (18" x 6") was wrapped around a 24" long 1/2 pipe (0.85" diameter). The pipe was then sprayed using the Model #800 & #700 Apollo sprayers approximately 6" from the pipe. Foil, gun, wet paint and dry paint data were recorded as above. Tests on pipe were denoted with a "P".

Data	:

		Wet	Dry		
	Foil weight	Foil weight	Foil weight	Initial gun	Final gun
Test #	(grams)	(grams)	(grams)	weight (grams)	weight (grams)
800-1	41.2	des con con ten des con ^{debo} con	48.63	1478.3	1458.4
800-2	31.4		33.87	1458.4	1452.2
800-3	12.3	13.5	12.99	1452.2	1450.8
800-4	13.0		13.99	1450.8	1448.0
800-5	12.7	15.2	14.08	1448.0	1444.8
800-6P	5.05	5.80	5.63	1444.8	1442.8
800-7	12.05		14.52	1442.8	1436.8
800-8	13.04	19.57	15.94	1436.8	1429.0
800-9	11.92	16.81	14.25	1429.0	1422.7
800-10	13.66	19.34	16.19	1422.7	1415.8
800-11	12.52	19.60	14.99	1415.8	1407.2
500-12	12.06	16.33	14.07	1407.2	1401.7
500-13	13.22	16.92	14.96	1401.7	1397.0
700-14	13.59	19.68	16.32	1397.0	1389.4
700-15	14.07	21.05	16.95	1389.4	1380.6
700-16	39.7	54.57	46.57	1380.6	1362.1
700-17P	3.95	8.22	4.13	1361.3	1360.4

Calculations:

TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

Results:

	Wet Transfer	Dry Transfer
Test I.D.	Efficiency (%)	Efficiency (%)
800-1		37.3
800-2		39.8
800-3	85.7	49.3
800-4		35.4
800-5	78.1	43.1
800-7		41.2
800-8	83.7	37.2
800-9	76.5	37.0
800-10	83.5	37.2
800-11	82.3	28.7
Aver a ge	81.6	38.6
S. Dev.	3.6	5.4
500-12	77.6	36.5
500-13	<u>78.7</u>	37.0
Average	78.2	36.8
S. Dev.	0.8	0.4
700-14	80.1	35.9
700-15	79.3	32.7
700-16	80.4	37.1
Average	79.9	$\frac{37.1}{35.2}$
S. Dev.	0.6	2.3
800-6P	38.5	29.7
700-17P	<u>35.6</u>	20.0
Average	37.1	24.9
S. Dev.	2.1	6.9
Summary of Res	sults:	
Foil Center	79.9	36.9
Pipe	<u>37.1</u>	<u>24.9</u>
Average	58.5	30.9

Respectfully submitted,

TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES,

Tom Farsons, Supervisor Mechanical Testing

